

# The Stratigraphy of the Post-Palaeozoic Sequences in Part of the Western Channel [and Discussion]

P. Andreieff, P. Bouysse, D. Curry, B. N. Fletcher, D. Hamilton, C. Monciardini, A. J. Smith, R. McQuillin and C. Pomerol

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 1975 279, 79-97

doi: 10.1098/rsta.1975.0042

**Email alerting service** 

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click **here** 

To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A go to: http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A. 279, 79-97 (1975) [ 79 ] Printed in Great Britain

### The stratigraphy of the post-Palaeozoic sequences in part of the western Channel

By P. Andreieff,† P. Bouysse,‡ D. Curry,§ B. N. Fletcher,|| D. HAMILTON, C. MONCIARDINI AND A. J. SMITH § † B.R.G.M., Service géologique national, département Géologie, B.P. 6009, 45018 Orléans Cedex, France ‡ B.R.G.M., Service géologique national, département Géologie marine, B.P. 6009, 45018 Orléans Cedex, France § Department of Geology, University College London, Gower Street, London, W.C. 1. || Institute of Geological Sciences, Ring Road Halton, Leeds LS15 8TQ. ¶ Department of Geology, University of Bristol BS8 1 TR.

The micropalaeontological investigation of rock samples collected in the western part of the English Channel during the Anglo-French research cruise Géomanche II together with samples collected by French and British university workers, have been used to produce a stratigraphic synthesis of the area. The age determinations of samples ranges from Permo-Triassic to Neogene.

The interpretation of the structure is based upon continuous reflexion seismic profiling obtained during the Géomanche I cruise. The major structural feature of the area is the large syncline with its axial plane striking WSW-ENE and its axis plunging gently westwards. Two important structures include the structural high between Jersey and Start Point and the Alderney-Ushant structural line. The paper includes a geological map and a list of sample stations.

#### 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the stratigraphy of the post-Palaeozoic sediments in the eastern part of the western Channel and in particular with the area covered by the Guernsey sheet. The forthcoming Guernsey sheet is a geological map on the 1:250000 Universal Transverse Mercator scale covering the area from latitude 49° 00′ N to 50° 00′ N and longitude 2° 00′ W to 4° 00' W which will be published jointly by the Institute of Geological Sciences (I.G.S.) and the Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières (B.R.G.M.). It forms one of a series of maps, at this scale, of the Continental Shelf being produced by the I.G.S.

This account is based upon the results of the Géomanche projects I and II which were carried out on the French research vessel Noroit using continuous reflexion profiling equipment, supplemented by gravity core sampling. This Franco-British sampling programme was directed by Ph. Bouysse assisted by P. Andreieff, J.-P. Auffret, A. Crosby, B. N. Fletcher, P. Hommeril, F. Le Lann, G. Monclar and G. Quarantotti. The total number of bedrock samples obtained was 131 and micropalaeontological determinations were made on 82 of these core samples by P. Andreieff, D. Curry and C. Monciardini. In addition, a total of 178 samples previously taken by British workers at Bristol University, University College London and University College Swansea have been used in the stratigraphic synthesis, together with those by the French workers J. P. Lefort (Lefort 1970 a, b; Andreieff & Lefort 1972), L. Dangeard (1928),

### 80 P. ANDREIEFF AND OTHERS △BRISTOL, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON & SWANSEA UNIVERSITIES AND IGS OGEOMANCHE ♦ DANGEARD La LEFORT MOMMERIL NOROIS ♦ LARSONNEUR DFILLY + BOILLOT LE CALVEZ N LOWER CRETACEOUS UPPER CRETACEOUS SAMPLE STATIONS MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL & ENGINEERING SCIENCES R-T PERMO-TRIASSIC P | PALAEOCENE G OLIGOCENE BASEMENT L-J JURASSIC M MIOCENE EOCENE --- FAULTS Flamanville O SOCIETY -OF #\ 1-1 3 MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL & ENGINEERING SCIENCES 0 40 SOCIETY G R-T တ္ခ

PHILOSOPHICAL THE ROYAL TRANSACTIONS COLLECTOR

PHILOSOPHICAL THE ROYAL TRANSACTIONS COLLECTOR

7

#### THE STRATIGRAPHY OF POST-PALAEOZOIC SEQUENCES

81

G. Fily (1972), the Groupe Norois (1972), P. Hommeril (1967) and C. Larsonneur (1972). Samples referred to in this paper are listed in Appendix A.

The boundary of the post-Palaeozoic cover is based upon the work of J. P. Lefort (1970a) and P. Giresse, P. Hommeril & M. Lamboy (1972).

Additional continuous seismic profiling has recently been completed in the area by the I.G.S. This has not been included in the map with this paper (figure 1) but will be incorporated in the forthcoming publication of the Guernsey sheet.

The major structural feature of the area is the large syncline with its axial plane striking WSW-ENE and its axis plunging gently westwards towards the edge of the Continental Shelf. To the northwest and southeast it is flanked by Palaeozoic and basement rocks. The main faulting trends WSW-ENE parallel to the fold axis. One of the major faults in the area is that to the northwest of the Hurd deep which brings Eocene beds against the Triassic.

Two important structures include the structural high between Jersey and Start Point which separates two unequally subsiding areas, and the Alderney-Ushant structural line, southeast of which the basement has remained a positive area where marine Jurassic was probably never deposited. The detailed structural interpretation of the continuous reflexion profiling of the Géomanche I and II campaigns is described elsewhere in this volume (Bouysse, Horn, Lefort & Le Lann 1974).

#### 2. STRATIGRAPHY

#### (a) Permo-Triassic

Brick-red clays and sandstones of presumed Permo-Triassic age extend seawards into the area from the pre-Permian rocks of south Devon. They have been proved at SB stations 648, 649, 655, 656, 660, 1130, 1139, 1141, 1182, 1183, 1191, 1293, 1294, 1308, 1309, 1312 and 1315. SB1182 is a fine-grained sandstone; all of the others are clays. Presumed Triassic beds occur also in the upfaulted region around 49° 45′ N, 3° W. Samples GM33 and GM34 are coarse brick-red sands and SB1621 is a red silty clay. SB1622 is a pale green silty clay, while samples IGS177 and 180 are mottled green and red mudstone of Keuper Marl lithology. These samples have yielded no fossils.

#### (b) Liassic

Pale calcareous marls with small dolomite rhombs were collected at SB stations around 49° 55′ N between 3° 25′ and 3° 35′ W. Pale grey-green clays have been found at 1122, 1132, 1133, 1134, 1138, 1140 and 1142. Pale buff limestones occur at 1125, 1126, 1136 and 1317. Only 1126 has yielded fossils; it contains the ostracod Ogmoconcha in abundance. In the general absence of fossils it is not possible to date these samples closely and as they show certain lithological similarities to the Tea Green Marls it may be that they should be referred to the Triassic.

SB samples of grey mudstones from the region of 50° 03′ N, 3° 30′ W have yielded the foraminiferids Geinitzinita tenera (Terquem) (1288, 1290, 1295, 1300); Marginulina prima d'Orb. (1284, 1295, 1300); Ichthyolaria sulcata (Bornemann) (1284). In these samples small, smooth ostracods are not uncommon, of unidentified species which can be matched in the Hettangian and Sinemurian of Lyme Regis. This series of ostracods, with ? Geinitzinita occurs also in SB1148, seven miles to the southeast. The above samples are dated as Lower Lias. Dark grey shales with brown flecks and layers of 'beef' were cored at SB1280 and 1282. They yielded no identified fossils but probably are also of the same age. Eastwards of the 1200 series of samples, GM38, a fine-grained sparite with rare spicules and echinoderm remains, has yielded

Vol. 279. A.

a microflora including *Perinopollenites elatoides* Couper, *Tsugaepollenites mesozoicus* Couper, Classopollis bussoni Reyre and Tasmanaceae, and on that evidence is dated as Upper Liassic.

Samples GM51 and 52, collected to the north of Alderney, are micrites with gastropods, rare ostracods and echinoderm fragments. They are dated as Lower Lias on the basis of the following microflora, recovered from GM52: Apiculatisporites parvispinosus (Lech.), Camarozonosporites aulosenensis Schulz, Heliosporites altmarkensis (Schulz). Farther north again, a grey clay (SB1588) has yielded Ichthyolaria sulcata with Marginulina cf. prima and ?Geinitzinita and is probably also Lower Liassic. A sample nearby (SB1587) is a buff calcareous sandstone with Lenticulina orbignyi (Roemer), Trocholina sp., Ogmoconcha sp. and small, ornate ostracods. It is probably Middle or Upper Liassic.

Two samples, GM27 and GM46, which have yielded only a microflora, are dated as probably Liassic. GM27, from the area to the NW of the Hurd Deep, is a micrite with a poor assemblage including Deltoidospora sp., Classopollis type classoides, C. type torosus. GM46, in the northeastern part of the area, is a black clay with a rich assemblage of pollens and microplankton dominated by Classopollis (90 % of the population), together with Tsugaepollenites mesozoicus, Piceaepollenites alatus Potonié, Cymatiosphaera cf. pachytheca (Eis.) and Micrhystridium hymensis variglissum Wall. Finally, sample IGS182 has yielded an assemblage of miospores and microplankton which is dominated by Classopollis torosus (Reissinger) and also includes Heliosporites altmarkensis, Cymatiosphaera sp., Baltisphaeridium delicatum Wall and Micrhystridium cf. stellatum Deflandre. The flora suggests a possible Hettangian or Sinemurian age.

#### (c) Bathonian

Ten samples in all are considered to be Bathonian. SB1076 and SB1647 were collected in the narrow strip of Jurassic beds along the Hurd Deep. SB1627 is from the nearby faulted inlier west of Jersey and the remainder were collected from the large expanse of Jurassic to the northwest of the Cotentin. GM43 has yielded a rich microfauna of foraminiferids and ostracods which indicates an Upper Bathonian age. It includes Verneuilina haeusleri (Galloway), Paalzowella feifeli (Paalzow), Planularia tricarinella (Reuss), Oligocythereis gr. fullonica (Jones), Parariscus bathonicus Oertli and Acanthocythere spinisulcata Bradley. SB1627, an oolitic microbiosparite, with abundant molluscan, polyzoan and crinoid remains, contains a similar microfauna. SB samples 1076, 1585, 1605 and 1607 are cream or pale grey biosparites and 1606 is a grey mudstone. All contain spicules and molluscan fragments and all have yielded rather small microfaunas which however include V. haeusleri and so suggest a Bathonian age.

GM48 is dated as Bathonian on the following ostracod assemblage: Praeschuleridea caudata (Donze), Lophocythere cf. ostreata (Jones & Sherborn), Oligocythereis bouvadensis Depeche, Bradyana sp., Pleurocythere sp. GM44, with no distinctive calcareous microfauna, has yielded an Upper Bathonian suite of pollens and microplankton including Zonalopollenites trilobatus Balme, Z. dampieri Balme, Matonisporites equiexinus Couper, Rhaetogonyaulax sp. and Nannoceratopsis cf. pellucida Deflandre.

Finally, GM41, a blue-grey marl has yielded a microfauna without highly distinctive elements. These include Lenticulina subalata (Reuss), L. gr. muensteri (Roemer), Oligocythereis cf. fullonica, O. bouvadensis, Cytherella cf. collapsa Grekoff. This sample is either Upper Bathonian or Lower Callovian.

#### (d) Jurassic undifferentiated

Several samples have yielded pollen assemblages of a Jurassic aspect. GM36, collected northwest of the Hurd Deep, is a purple marl with Pteruchipollenites cf. microsaccus Couper, Perinopollenites elatoides Couper, Inaperturipollenites sp. and Classopollis. Its facies resembles that of the nearby Triassic. Taken southeast of the Deep, three samples of grey-green clay (GM150, 151, 152) are dated as Jurassic on the presence of Sphaeripollenites with diatoms in GM151. GM53, collected northwest of Alderney, is a micrite with Micrhystridium fragile Deflandre, Crassosphaera sp. and Classopollis sp. A particularly interesting sample of black carbonaceous rock (GM95), taken south of Alderney and west of Flamanville, is possibly of Middle Jurassic age. It has yielded Densoisporites perinatus Couper, Perinopollenites elatoides and Zonalopollenites triangularis (Levet-Carette). It appears to be a continental deposit, resting on Basement, and suggests that the region of the Channel Islands was emergent in mid-Jurassic times. Finally, three samples of beige micrites (GM8, GM30, SB1582) are dated as Jurassic on seismic evidence.

#### (e) Lower Cretaceous

The area of Lower Cretaceous beds of continental aspect mapped by Curry, Hamilton & Smith (1970) appears not to extend eastwards into the region covered by the proposed Guernsey sheet.

#### (f) Upper Cretaceous

Upper Cretaceous beds occupy most of the northwestern sector of the map west of 2° 30′ W, extending from its northerly and westerly boundaries towards and somewhat beyond the Hurd Deep. Immediately to the south of the Hurd Deep the outcrop continues eastwards in a narrow strip off the north coast of the Cherbourg peninsula. Farther south again, outliers occur close to the Banc des Langoustiers, between Guernsey and Alderney and between Guernsey and Jersey. In addition an outlier occurs at 50° N, 2° 17′ W (GM 47) and another at 49° 51′ N, 2° 57′ W (GM31). Each of these outliers is downfaulted along its northern flank.

Everywhere the lowest Upper Cretaceous beds rest unconformably on earlier strata. This sub-Upper Cretaceous unconformity develops progressively westwards from the regions of Dorset and Cap d'Antifer respectively. South of Start Point the Upper Cretaceous rests on Triassic or Liassic beds; along the Hurd Deep it rests mostly on Middle Jurassic beds, but also locally on Trias or Lias. Near the Channel Islands and the Banc des Langoustiers it rests on Basement.

Lithologically the Upper Cretaceous beds are comparable with those of southern England and northern France. They are more or less well cemented biomicrites with variable amounts of bioclastic components such as prisms of *Inoceranus*, foraminiferids, echinoid fragments and, in some samples, abundant polyzoans. Their fauna indicates that they are wholly marine. Samples taken near the base of the local sequence may contain glauconite or quartz grains, and dolomite rhombs occur in several samples (GM35, GM42, GM53, SB1092, 1093, 1626).

Five samples are considered to be of Cenomanian age. Of the remaining samples which have been dated none appears to be earlier than Coniacian. One is dated as doubtfully Coniacian and six as Santonian. Of the remainder more than one third are probably Campanian and the rest are regarded as Maestrichtian.

#### (i) Cenomanian

These are samples GM5, 6, 47, SB475, 1599. All were taken in the nothernmost part of the area, close to the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary and all are of glauconitic, calcareous sandstone. The facies of this group of samples is well known in the marginal Upper Cretaceous of Sarthe and northern Aquitaine (France) and Devon (England). The presence in samples GM5 and GM47 of Orbitolina sp. of an advanced type, known in the Lower Cenomanian of these regions, provides evidence for the dating here proposed. Rotalipora cf. cushmani (Morrow) and Praeglobotruncana sp. were seen in thin sections of GM6 and SB475, which are therefore probably Middle or Upper Cenomanian. GM6 contained also Hedbergella sp., Pithonella sp., together with Gavelinella sp., Lenticulina sp. and Textulariidae.

#### (ii) Coniacian

For the dating of post-Cenomanian samples, comparison was made with the microfaunas of 40 samples collected serially in the well-documented section (Barr 1962, 1966) at Culver Cliff, at the eastern end of the Isle of Wight. SB1105 is a glauconitic chalk taken very close to the Jurassic boundary south of the Hurd Deep. It contains Globotruncana lapparenti coronata Bolli, Allomorphina sp., Gublerina sp., Eouvigerina aculeata (Ehr.), E. gracilis (Egger), Gavelinopsis eriksdalensis (Brotzen), Loxostomum eleyi (Cushman). L. eleyi in the absence of Stensioeina suggests that this sample is of Cortestudinarium age. Gublerina has not, it seems, previously been recorded from NW Europe.

#### (iii) Santonian

Zoning from Santonian times onwards is based in part on the successive occurrences of species of Bolivinoides, such as strigillatus (Chapman), Uintacrinus-Marsupites; culverensis Barr, Pillula-Quadratus; decoratus (Wright), Mucronata; giganteus Hiltermann & Koch, Maestrichtian. Some species of Pseudovalvulineria are also of value: pseudoexcolata (Kalinin), Uintacrinus, rare later; glabra Goel, Pillula and Quadratus; clementiana (d'Orb.), Mucronata. Of the samples here considered to be Santonian SB455 and SB1096 have yielded B. strigillatus. B. culverensis occurs in SB652 and SB1614. GM31, SB237, 455 and 1614 have yielded P. pseudoexcolata. GM31, SB237, 455, 1614 contain Stensioeina, which first appears in the Coranguinum Zone. Other species present in this group of samples include Gavelinella stelligera (Marie), G. pertusa (Marsson), G. costata Brotzen and Pyramidina cushmani (Brotzen). One specimen of what appears to be Planoglobulina was found in SB455. On the basis of this analysis SB455 and 1096 are believed to be Lower Santonian, SB1614 to be Upper Santonian and GM31, SB237 and 652 are not dated more precisely than Santonian.

Samples GM31, SB1096 and 1614 occur near the base of the Chalk sequence along the line of the Hurd Deep and SB455 occurs near the crest of an anticline in the same area. SB237 is near to the boundary with the Liassic SSW of Start Point.

#### (iv) Campanian

In addition to species already mentioned the following are useful guide forms. The speciesgroup Praebulimina cushmani (Sandidge) – laevis (Beissel) first appears in late Santonian times, when adult specimens are about 2 mm long. The mean dimensions increase with time and at the Campanian-Maestrichtian boundary the length is 4-5 mm. Finally at high Maestrichtian

85

levels adults may be 6-8 mm long. Gavelinopsis voltziana (d'Orb.) is dominant from the top of the Quadratus Zone onwards and it also increases in mean size with time. G. monterelensis Marie appears in the Mucronata Zone but is more common in the Lower Maestrichtian. Globorotalites meudonensis Goel is a short-ranged form in the highest Campanian. Bolivina incrassata Reuss appears at about the same time and is common throughout the Maestrichtian. Pullenia is not uncommon.

Of the samples to which a Campanian age has been allocated six only (GM54, 153, SB453, 456, 1094 and 1098) have yielded good faunas. All have yielded G. voltziana and P. laevis of moderate size. G. monterelensis occurs in GM40, 153 and SB1094. Bolivinoides decoratus occurs in GM54, 153, SB453, 456 and 1098, and B. laevigatus Marie in SB1094. Pseudovalvulineria clementiana occurs in SB456 and 1094. G. meudonensis is present in SB453, 1094 and 1098 and B. incrassata in SB1098. These samples are therefore placed in the Upper Campanian and SB453, 1094 and 1098 must be close to the Maestrichtian boundary. Samples SB1144, 1589, 1614 and O2 contain B. culverensis with small G. voltziana. They are probably Lower Campanian. GM40 and SB70 contain B. ? decoratus and GM samples 9, 10, 24, 29, 39 and 85 and SB samples 248, 622, 634, 1199, 1591, 1592 and 1596 have yielded G. voltziana and are probably Campanian but may be Maestrichtian. Finally SB623 and 1603 contain large G. voltziana with Bolivinoides intermediate between decoratus and giganteus. They are probably highest Campanian or lowest Maestrichtian.

#### (v) Maestrichtian

No faunas of Maestrichtian age are known from nearby land except in the Calcaire à Baculites of the region of Valognes, Manche. This is a thin (20 m) biocalcarenite believed to be of Upper Maestrichtian age (Hofker 1959; Curry 1962). Its rich fauna appears to be uniform throughout so it is of no value for establishing a local faunal sequence. Reference has been made therefore to the faunas of beds of lowest Maestrichtian age exposed on the coast of Norfolk and to sequences in Holland, North Germany and Denmark (see Hofker 1957).

Among forms found in the chalks of the area of the present study, species occurring for the first time in the Lower Maestrichtian beds of Trimingham, Norfolk include Gavelinella ekblomi (Brotzen) and Tappanina selmensis (Cushman). Several of the species mentioned by Hofker as characterizing the Maestrichtian of Denmark and North Germany also are present. These include Bolivinoides giganteus, Gavelinella incerta Hofker, Eponides toulmini Brotzen, E. beisseli Schijfsma, Coleites reticulosus (Plummer), Anomalinoides nobilis Brotzen, Pyramidina cristata (Marsson) and P. cimbrica (Troelsen). Raceniguembelina fructicosa (Egger), confined in Denmark to the highest beds of the Maestrichtian (cf. Hofker 1957, pp. 424, 444), occurs in some samples. Gavelinopsis voltziana, Praebulimina laevis, Bolivina incrassata, B. plaita Carsey and Eponides biconvexa Marie, which range up from the Campanian, are abundant and Anomalinoides cf. danicus Brotzen occurs frequently. Also present are species of Stensioeina and Eouvigerina.

It was suspected that more than one distinct faunal assemblage might be present among the samples believed to be of Maestrichtian age. The faunas of 20 samples were therefore analysed using the method described by Curry (1975). This analysis showed strong positive correlations between the species R. fructicosa, E. toulmini, T. selmensis, Globotruncana arca (Cushman) and G. stuarti (de Lapp.). This group is identified as Group A. There is a positive correlation between occurrences of Stensioeina, Eouvigerina, E. biconvexa and A. cf. danicus, here identified as Group B. Other species listed show no strong correlations either with Group A or Group B. As R. fructicosa and E. toulmini are confined to Upper Maestrichtian

beds in northern Europe it is suggested that the presence of Group A indicates an Upper Maestrichtian age. The presence of Group B, in the absence of Group A, is taken to indicate a Lower Maestrichtian age. Samples in which neither Group A nor Group B occurs are not more closely dated than Maestrichtian.

On the basis of the above analysis many samples appear to be of Lower Maestrichtian age. They include the following from the SB series: 157, 457, 633, 825, 1078, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1097, 1626 and 1645. The following samples of the SB series - 178, 454, 624, 627, 1067, 1634, 1638 and 1639 – contain the Group A fauna and so are dated as Upper Maestrichtian. Sample GM58 and samples from the SB series 288, 625, 626, 632, 824, 1581, 1615, 1624 and 1644, and sample R2, containing one or more Maestrichtian markers, could not be dated more precisely than Maestrichtian.

Three samples (GM69, 76, 110), taken near Guernsey close to Basement, are of special interest as they have yielded Globotruncana gansseri Bolli, G. stuarti and (in 69 and 76) the important G. contusa (Cushman). The last has not previously been found in the Channel, though it occurs in the Calcaire à Baculites. In addition, GM110 contains Racemiguembelina. The samples are no doubt of Maestrichtian age. Sample GM74, containing B. incrassata, Gavelinopsis cf. involuta (Reuss), Bolivinoides australis Edgell and Globotruncana stuartiformis Dalbiez, is highest Campanian or Maestrichtian.

#### (vi) Upper Cretaceous undifferentiated

The following samples of indurated Chalk have been dated on thin sections: GM42, 148, 157, post-Middle Turonian (Globotruncana cf. linneiana (d'Orb.); GM35, Campanian (G. voltziana and P. clementiana); GM45, 59, 84, probably Maestrichtian (G. involuta).

#### (vii) Stratigraphical comments

Everywhere the Upper Cretaceous beds are horizontal or nearly so and dips rarely exceed 1-2°. Two c.s.p. traverses south of Start Point between 49° 40′ and 50° N give two-way travel times through the complete succession of about 230 ms. At an estimated velocity of 2.2 km/s this gives a thickness of 250 m. The maximum thickness recorded southeast of Start Point (at 50° 03′ N, 3° 13′ W) in an incomplete succession (base to Upper Campanian; see sample 1596, with G. voltziana, B. plaita, P. cf. cimbrica) is 140 m. Adjacent to the Hurd Deep at about 2° 50′ W two pairs of traverses suggest a thickness of the total succession on the northwest side of about 150 m, with perhaps 130 m to the southeast. In this region the majority of the samples are Maestrichtian. The solitary Santonian (SB1614) and Lower Campanian (Q2) samples nearby are both glauconitic and invite comparison with the marginal Cenomanian already referred to. Samples taken close to the Banc à Langoustiers and near Guernsey and Alderney are exclusively Maestrichtian and some are taken very close to Basement.

The distribution of samples in relation to the observed thicknesses suggests that Turonian chalks may be absent from the area, that the Albian and/or Cenomanian are very thin and restricted to the northern part of the map and that they may well be absent altogether in the region of the Start-Cotentin 'high'. Santonian and perhaps even Campanian chalks may be absent from the region underlain by Basement at shallow depth southeast of the Alderney-Ushant line. As suggested by Larsonneur (1972), this area may have been transgressed only in the Maestrichtian. Tentative maximum thicknesses for the stages are: Cenomanian, Coniacian and Santonian, locally, up to 30 m each; Campanian and Maestrichtian, each about 100-120 m.

#### (g) Palaeocene

In this paper the Danian stage is included in the Palaeocene. Palaeocene beds have been found only in the more central parts of the English Channel in a region between Devon and Finistère. They appear to rest comformably on the Maestrichtian and to be overlain with unconformity by Upper Lutetian beds.

#### (i) Danian and/or Montian

These are marine biomicrites of high porosity, typically with small (less than 0.1 mm) biogenic components. Microspar may occur and one sample (1101) is an uncemented arenite. Foraminiferids are abundant and polyzoan and echinoderm fragments may be present. Planktonic foraminiferids occur more or less commonly in all samples. The association of the species Globigerina pseudobulloides, G. triloculinoides Plummer and Globoconusa daubjergensis (Brönnimann) is particularly characteristic of the type Danian. Forms of the G. pseudobulloides – varianta (Subbotina) group occur in GM11, and SB samples 165, 291, 452, 462, 463, 471, 1066 and 1101; G. daubjergensis in 165, 291, 1064, 1095 and 1101; G. triloculinoides in GM11, SB291 and 1095; forms of the Globorotalia compressa (Plummer)-ehrenbergi Bolli group are present in GM11, SB462 and 463. Among the benthonic foraminiferids Eponides toulmini, Tappanina selmensis, Gavelinella ekblomi and Coleites reticulosus survive from the Maestrichtian while important newcomers are Alabamina dorsoplana (Brotzen), Bolivinopsis scanica Brotzen, Pseudoparrella meeternae Visser and *Pulsiphonina prima* (Plummer). By comparison with the type Danian there is a high proportion of genera indicating shallow water, such as Rosalina, Epistominella and ?Pararotalia. Elphidium primum ten Dam (present in SB1064, 1095 and 1101) provides a similar indication. While most of the samples are clearly Danian, the presence of Globorotalia perclara Loeblich & Tappan (known from the Selandian, but not the Danian, of Denmark) in SB1095 and of G. cf. ehrenbergi in GM11 suggests that these samples are of Selandian (? = Montian) age. They may thus be referable to the Globorotalia uncinata Zone of Bolli (1957), and Zone P2 of Berggren (1971). Sample 464, without determined fossils, is included in this section on the basis of its lithology.

#### (ii) Thanetian

Andreieff & Lefort (1972) ascribed to the Thanetian a sample (302) of grey marine sandy clay with a well-preserved microfauna, which, however, included no planktonic Foraminifera. No other sample of this age has been recognized in the area.

Samples GM15 and GM146 are biocalcarenites, thin sections of which display indeterminate thin-walled globigerinids. From this fact and their geographical position they are assumed to be of Palaeocene age.

#### (h) Eocene

Eocene beds occupy a band some 40 km in average width which extends in a west-southwest direction from the Channel Islands. To the eastwards the outcrop continues between Guernsey and Alderney and also between Sark and Les Ecréhou on the northeast and Jersey on the northwest. It also occupies a large area in the centre of the Bay of St Malo.

Eocene beds extend a short distance into the area of the map from the main Western English Channel Syncline at around 49° 35' N. They are bounded to the south-southeast by members of the WSW-ENE trending system of faults which forms a dominant structural feature in the region of the Hurd Deep. Further to the east along the line of this suite of faults at least two

small outliers of Eocene beds have been located in the general latitudes of 49° 40' to 49° 50' N. A small outlier also occurs in a region about 10 km northwest of Cap de la Hague.

Around the Channel Islands and along the north coast of Brittany the Eocene beds rest on Basement, except for small areas northeast of Guernsey and north of the Banc des Langoustiers where they rest on Chalk. In the areas on either side of the Hurd Deep and west of 3° 30′ W the Eocene beds rest, probably disconformably, on the Palaeocene.

Very many samples have been collected from rock in place and descriptions of lithologies and faunas have been given by Curry (1960), Bignot & Hommeril (1964), Bignot, Hommeril & Larsonneur (1968), Lefort (1970 a, b) and Andreieff & Lefort (1972). The samples are of predominantly calcareous rocks of marine origin and include biocalcarenites and biomicrites, with sparites and microsparites. Glauconite is present in silty biomicrites and microsparites at the eastern end of the Western English Channel syncline. Typical samples are SB series 67, 458, 465, 468, 469, 1082 and 1103. These possibly indicate lower rates of sedimentation and current agitation. Outside the area, dominantly arenaceous rocks of Middle and Upper Eocene age are present in the region of 49° 45' N between 4° and 6° W. This situation may be explained by derivation from the higher ground of Devon and Cornwall, whose relief may have been considerable during Eocene times. Near the Brittany coast, on the other hand, quartz is typically rare or absent, except in a few samples taken very close to the contact with Basement, suggesting that the relief of Brittany was low by contrast with that of Cornubia.

Samples have a high content of recognizable organic remains, being composed more or less completely of aggregates of foraminiferids, polyzoans and echinoid fragments, with some ostracods. Calcareous algae and fragments of shells of molluscs may occur, and calcareous algae are present in rock-forming abundance at some sites along the Brittany coast. Curry (1960), Bignot et al. (1968) and Andreieff & Lefort (1972) have commented on the faunal content in a stratigraphical context, and Wright & Murray (1972) have analysed the foraminiferal faunas and have drawn conclusions from these about the conditions under which the deposits were laid down.

The oldest beds recognized were described by Lefort (1970b) and are limestones with abundant Nummulites laevigatus (Brug.) and fish-teeth, collected north-northwest of Tréguier. These are clearly of Lower Lutetian age. In the rest of the samples nummulites and planktonic foraminiferids are absent or very rare and the foraminiferal fauna is dominated by miliolids, agglutinating genera such as Valvulina and Discorinopsis, and an assemblage of larger benthonic foraminiferids, most of which have tests with a relatively complicated structure. These include especially Fasciolites cf. bosci (Defr.), Orbitolites complanatus Lk., Gyroidinella magna Le Calvez, Linderina brugesi Schlumberger, Halkyardia minima (Liebus) and Asterocyclina stellata (d'Archiac). Wright & Murray (1972) have concluded that this assemblage indicates deposition in shallow, warm, more or less hypersaline water and that samples in which miliolids dominate were probably formed in lagoons.

Bignot et al. (1968) assigned an Upper Lutetian age to all their samples, but Andreieff & Lefort (1972) suggested for their material that, in addition to the Lower Lutetian occurrence already mentioned, Upper Lutetian, Bartonian and Stampian levels are represented. In the almost complete absence of nummulites and planktonic foraminiferids precise dating has proved difficult. Comparison with Eocene sequences in the Cotentin and Loire-Atlantique is unhelpful because of the apparently short time-span of their deposits. The sequence of timeranges of benthonic foraminiferid species established on land in the Anglo-Paris-Belgian Basin

89

has been found to be invalid for the western English Channel (Curry 1975), and it has not yet proved possible in this latter area to establish a faunal sequence which is based on a series of core-samples in relation to c.s.p. records of good quality. In these circumstances recourse was made to a statistical analysis, the methodology and results of which are described in (Curry 1975). This identified the presence of two groups of foraminiferid species which tended not to occur in association and which are provisionally regarded as indicating an Upper Lutetian and a Bartonian age respectively. The associations are, briefly, (a) Fasciolites and the associated species quoted earlier but not H. minima, (b) H. minima and Discorbis discoides (d'Orb.).

On the basis of the above analysis the following identifications are proposed: (a) Upper Lutetian; GM samples 61, 62, 63, 104, 105, 111, 118, 120, 121, 122, 123, 129, 130, 131, 133, 136, 137, 138, 147, SB samples 74, 451, 458, 465, 468, 826, 1048, 1053, 1054, 1107, 1251, 1253, 1254, 1255, 1256, 1257, 1258, 1633, and other samples as follows: C379, C380, C382, C384. C385, C386, B410, B532, B611, B763, B832, UCL1602 and BE308; (b) Bartonian; GM samples 132, 143, 144, SB samples 72, 469, 829, 1061, 1106, 1252; (c) Upper Lutetian or Bartonian; GM samples 12, 23, 26, 55, 135, 139, SB samples 67, 290, 1043, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1063, 1082, 1100, 1103, 1108, 1114, 1616, 1628, 1630, 1642; (d) Middle or Upper Eocene; the following, without distinctive faunas, could not be more precisely dated: GM samples 13, 60, 70, 134, 142, 145, 154, SB samples 827, 828, 830, 1051, 1065, 1629, 1631, 1640. The following samples have yielded no faunal information and are dated as Palaeogene on their collecting site and lithology: GM samples 18, 125, 140, 141, 155.

Although, as already stated, nummulites and planktonic foraminiferids are infrequent in the Upper Lutetian and Bartonian samples, any such occurrences are important from the point of view both of correlation and of palaeogeography and so they are noted herewith. Nummulites occur in six samples, in quantities as follows: GM104 N. aturicus Joly & Leymerie (2); SB74 N. cf. striatus (Brug.) (1); SB1053 N. cf. variolarius Lk. (2); SB67, 1043, 1258 N. spp. indet. (1 each). In the Aquitaine Basin N. aturicus is characteristic of Upper Lutetian horizons and N. striatus occurs in the highest Lutetian and in Lower Bartonian beds. N. variolarius occurs in Lutetian and in Lower Bartonian beds in the Anglo-Paris-Belgian Basin. It may be significant that all but one of the stations at which nummulites were collected are close to Basement and thus presumably very low in the local Eocene sequence.

Planktonic foraminiferids occur in three samples, all in the western half of the map, as follows: SB74 Turborotalia cf. rotundimarginata (Subbotina) (2); SB830 Globigerina sp. indet. (1); SB1258 Globigerapsis higginsi (Bolli) (2). The two named species have been recorded at Lutetian horizons in Belgium and the Paris Basin (Brönnimann et al. 1968, pp. 102-3).

#### (i) Oligocene

Buff limestone (SB1060) was collected at 49° 15' N, 3° 49' W. This has yielded moulds of charophytes and moulds and shells of freshwater gastropods including undetermined species of Lymnaea and Planorbis. Also found were two complete apertural margins of a species of Nystia, which appears to be N. duchasteli (Nyst). This gastropod is widespread in early Middle Oligocene times, occurring abundantly in the Hamstead Beds of the Isle of Wight, the Upper Tongrian of Belgium and also in clays overlying the Eocene limestones of the Cotentin (Vieillard & Dollfus 1875, p. 130). Sample SB1060 is thus provisionally dated as Oligocene. In the region westwards of SB1060 Andreieff & Lefort (1972, p. 54) record the presence of marine limestones which they have dated as Stampian. To the west of Jersey they collected a sample of grey clay

90

#### P. ANDREIEFF AND OTHERS

(Lefort no. 112) which yielded a brackish microfauna, and which they compared with the type Sannoisian of the Paris Basin.

#### (i) Neogene

Miocene shelly sands of Savignean facies were recorded by Hommeril (1967) from a restricted area seawards of Gouville, on the western side of the Cotentin peninsula.

We thank Madame F. Depeche for kindly examining the ostracods from samples GM43 and 48 and J. J. Chateauneuf for the palynological examination of Jurassic samples. We also thank Messrs J. P. Auffret, A. Crosby, P. Hommeril, F. Le Lann, G. Monclar and G. Quarantotti who took part in the sampling programme of Géomanche II and thank the Captain, officers and crew of the N.O. Noroit for their valuable assistance.

Special thanks are due also to all those who collaborated in the collection of the large number of samples made available for study by the Geology Department of the University of Bristol. These include the past and present Directors of the Marine Biological Association Laboratory, Plymouth, who provided sea time on R.V. Sarsia, the Natural Environment Research Council which provided financial support and gave ship time on R.R.S. John Murray and also all those officers, crew members and scientist colleagues who from time to time sailed on these ships.

Dr B. N. Fletcher and Mr A. Crosby participated in the Géomanche cruise as part of the research programme of the Institute of Geological Sciences and have contributed to this paper with permission of the Director, I.G.S.

Finally, Mr A. C. G. Best and Professor D. T. Donovan are thanked for having placed samples at the disposal of the authors.

#### REFERENCES (Andreieff et al.)

- Andreieff, P., Bouysse, Ph., Horn, R. & Monciardini, C. 1972 Contribution à l'étude géologique des approches occidentales de la Manche. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche. Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 31-48.
- Andreieff, P. & Lefort, J. P. 1972 Contribution à l'étude stratigraphique des terrains secondaires et tertiares affleurant en Manche occidentale. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche. Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 49-56.
- Barr, F. T. 1962 Upper Cretaceous planktonic Foraminifera from the Isle of Wight, England. Palaeontology 4, 552-580.
- Barr, F. T. 1966 The foraminiferal genus Bolivinoides from the Upper Cretaceous of the British Isles. Palaeontology 9, 220-243.
- Barthe, A., Boillot, G. & Deloffre, R. 1967 Anticlinaux affectant le Crétacé à l'entreé de la Manche occidentale. C. r. hebd. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris D 264, 2725-2728.
- Berggren, W. A. 1971 Multiple phylogenetic zonations of the Cenozoic based on Planktonic Foraminifera. Proc. IInd Planktonic conf., Rome 1, 41-56.
- Bignot, G. & Hommeril, P. 1964 Contribution à l'étude du Lutétien entre les Iles Anglo-Normandes et le Cotentin. Rev. Socs sav. hte-Normandie (Sc.) 36, 17-28.
- Bignot, G., Hommeril, P. & Larsonneur, C. 1968 Le Lutétien au large du Cotentin. Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 58, 405-416.
- Boillot, G. 1964 Géologie de le Manche occidentale. Thèse, Paris. Ann. Inst. océanogr. 42, 1-219.
- Boillot, G. & Le Calvez, Y. 1961 Étude de l'Eocène au large de Roscoff (Finistère) et au Sud de la Manche occidentale. Rev. Géogr. phys. et Géol. dyn. (2), 4 (2), 15-30.
- Bolli, H. 1957 The genera Globigerina and Globorotalia in the Paleocene-Lower Eocene Lizard Springs Formation of Trinidad, B.W.I. U.S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 215, 61-81.
- Bouysse, Ph., Horn, R., Lefort, J. P. & Le Lann, F. 1975 Tectonique et structures post-paléozoiques en Manche occidentale. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 279, 41-54 (this volume)
- Brönnimann, P., Curry, D., Pomerol, C. & Szöts, E. 1968 Contribution à la connaissance des foraminiferès planctoniques de l'Eocène (incluant le Paléocène) du Bassin Anglo-Franco-Belge. Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 58, 101-108.
- Curry, D. 1960 Eocene limestones to the West of Jersey. Geol. Mag. Lond. 97, 289-298.
- Curry, D. 1962 A Lower Tertiary outlier in the central English Channel with notes on the beds surrounding it. Q. Jl geol. Soc. Lond. 118, 177-205.

91

- Curry, D. 1975 A method of classification of samples into groups of similar faunal content and its application to some rocks from the floor of the English Channel. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 279, 99-107 (this volume).
- Curry, D., Hamilton, D. & Smith, A. J. 1970 Geological and shallow subsurface geophysical investigations in the Western Approaches to the English Channel. Rep. Inst. Geol. Sci. 70/3, 1-12.
- Curry, D., Hamilton, D. & Smith, A. J. 1971 Geological evolution of the western English Channel and its relation to the nearby Continental margin. 2. Europe. S.C.O.R. Symposium, Cambridge. Rep. Inst. geol. Sci. 70/14, 129-142.
- Curry, D., Hersey, J. B., Martini, E. & Whittard, W. F. 1965 The geology of the Western Approaches of the English Channel. II. Geological interpretation aided by boomer and sparker records. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 248, 315-351.
- Dangeard, L. 1928 Observations de géologie marine et d'océanographie relatives à la Manche. Ann. Inst. océanogr. N.S. 6, 1-295.
- Dingwall, R. G. 1971 The structural and stratigraphical geology of a portion of the eastern English Channel. Rep. Inst. geol. Sci. 71/8, 1-24.
- Fily, G. 1972 Sédimentologie et géologie des fonds sous-marins entre Guernesey et les Casquets-Aurigny. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche. Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 171-183.
- Giresse, P., Hommeril, P. & Lamboy, M. 1972 Résultats préliminaires d'une campagne de sismique réflexion dans le golfe normand-breton. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche. Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 193-201.
- Hofker, J. 1957 Foraminiferen der Oberkreide von Nordwestdeutschland und Holland. Geol. J. 27, Beiheft, 1-464. Hosker, J. 1959 Les foraminisères du Crétacé supérieur du Cotentin. Colloque sur le Crétacé supérieur français. C. r. Socs Sav. Paris & Dép. (section des sciences, sous-section de géologie), 369-397.
- Hommeril, P. 1967 Etude de géologie marine concernant le littoral bas-normand et la zone pré-littorale de l'archipel anglo-normand. Thèse, Caen.
- Larsonneur, C. 1972 Données sur l'évolution paléogéographique posthercynienne de la Manche. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche, Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 203-214.
- Lefort, J. P. 1970 a Etude géologique de la Manche au Nord du Trégoi. III. Géologie du substrat et morphologie. Bull. Soc. géol. minér. Bret. (C. II) 2, 89-103.
- Lefort, J. P. 1970 b Sur la présence de Lutétien inférieur au Sud de la Manche occidentale. C. r. somm. Soc. géol. Fr., 80-81.
- Norois (Groupe) 1972 Contribution à l'étude stratigraphique des terrains affleurant en Manche centrale et orientale. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche, Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 123-170.
- Vieillard, E. & Dollfus, G. 1875 Etude géologique sur les terrains crétacés et tertiaires du Cotentin. Caen.
- Wright, C. A. & Murray, J. W. 1972 Comparisons of modern and Palaeogene foraminiferid distributions and their environmental implications. In Colloque sur la géologie de la Manche, Mém. B.R.G.M. (Fr.) 79, 87-96.

#### APPENDIX A. LIST OF SAMPLES

I.G.S. registered number	sample number	latitude N	longitude W	
Humber	namber	•		
$49/\overline{04}/129$	${ m GM}5$	49° 55.5′	3° 50.8′	L. Cenomanian
49/04/130	6	$49^{\circ}\ 52.6'$	$3^{\circ}$ $55.9'$	M. to U. Cenomanian
49/04/132	8	$49^{\circ} 53.6'$	$3^{\circ}~30.7'$	? Jurassic
$49/\overline{04}/133$	9	$49^{\circ}\ 45.5'$	$3^{\circ}$ $47.7'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/134$	10	$49^{\circ}\ 43.3'$	$3^{\circ}$ $49.9'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/135$	11	$49^{\circ}\ 37.7'$	$3^{\circ} 56.4'$	Danian (or Montian?)
$49/\overline{04}/136$	12	$49^{\circ}\ 36.5'$	$3^{\circ}$ $54.5'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/137$	13	$49^{\circ} \ 34.3'$	$3^{\circ}$ $52.0'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{05}/155$	15	$49^{\circ}\ 22.3'$	$4^{\circ}~02.5'$	Palaeocene
$49/\overline{04}/139$	18	$49^{\circ}\ 32.3'$	$3^{\circ} 40.9'$	Palaeogene
$49/\overline{04}/144$	23	$49^{\circ}\ 40.6'$	3° 09.5′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
49/04/145	24	$49^{\circ}\ 41.4'$	3° 06.2′	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/147$	26	$49^{\circ}\ 40.8'$	$3^{\circ}~02.7'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/148$	27	$49^{\circ} \ 43.9'$	$3^{\circ}~03.6'$	Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/151$	29	$49^{\circ} 53.2'$	3° 10.3′	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/152$	30	$49^{\circ} 54.3'$	3° 11.6′	? Jurassic
$49/\overline{03}/46$	31	$49^{\circ}\ 50.7'$	$2^{\circ}$ $58.0'$	U. Campanian
$49/\overline{03}/49$	33	$49^{\circ}\ 47.5^{\prime}$	$2^{\circ}$ 55.0'	Triassic
$49/\overline{03}/50$	34	$49^{\circ}\ 46.0'$	$2^{\circ}$ 54.0'	Triassic
$49/\overline{03}/51$	35	$49^{\circ} \ 43.5'$	$2^{\circ} 39.2'$	Campanian
$49/\overline{03}/52$	36	$49^{\circ}\ 51.3'$	$2^{\circ}$ $45.7'$	Jurassic

		LIST OF S	AMPLES (con	ut.)
I.G.S. registered	sample		,	,
number	number	latitude N	longitude W	
$50/\overline{04}/30$	GM 38	$50^{\circ}~03.9'$	$3^{\circ}\ 25.6'$	U. Liassic
$50/\overline{03}/56$	39	50° 05.72′	$2^{\circ}$ $56.3'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$50/\overline{03}/57$	40	50° 07.4′	2° 28.7′	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
50/ <del>03</del> /58	41	50° 10.0′	2° 14.5′	U. Bathonian or L. Callovian
$50/\overline{03}/59$	42	50° 11.5′	2° 10.9′	U. Cretaceous (M. Turonian or later)
$49/\overline{02}/1$	43	49° 59.0′	1° 59.5′	U. Bathonian
$50/\overline{02}/76$	$\begin{array}{c} 44 \\ 45 \end{array}$	50° 00.6′	1° 59.9′	U. Bathonian
$50/\overline{02}/60  50/\overline{03}/61$	46	50° 09.6′ 50° 08.4′	$2^{\circ}\ 06.1' \ 2^{\circ}\ 10.9'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian Liassic
$49/\overline{03}/54$	47	49° 59.7′	2° 17.0′	L. Cenomanian
$49/\overline{03}/57$	48	49° 52.0′	$2^{\circ} 25.0'$	U. Bathonian
$49/\overline{03}/60$	51	49° 49.0′	2° 07.0′	Hettangian or Sinemurian
$49/\overline{03}/61$	52	49° 48.1′	2° 14.1′	Hettangian or Sinemurian
$49/\overline{03}/62$	53	49° 47.2′	$2^{\circ}$ $23.2'$	Jurassic
$49/\overline{03}/63$	54	49° 46.6′	2° 31.6′	U. Campanian
$49/\overline{03}/64$	55	49° 44.3′	2° 31.1′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{03}/67$	58	$49^{\circ} 41.0'$	$2^{\circ}~29.6'$	L. Maestrichtian
$49\overline{/03}/68$	59	$49^{\circ}\ 40.7'$	$2^{\circ}~32.9'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/69$	60	$49^{\circ}~38.6'$	$2^{\circ}~33.5'$	Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{03}/70$	61	$49^{\circ}\ 36.0'$	$2^{\circ}\ 37.4'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/71$	62	$49^{\circ}~32.8'$	$2^{\circ}$ $41.6'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/72$	63	$49^{\circ}\ 29.5^{\prime}$	$2^{\circ}$ $45.6'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/76$	67	$49^{\circ}\ 43.4^{\prime}$	$2^{\circ}~29.5'$	Lutetian (remanié)
$49/\overline{03}/78$	69	$49^{\circ}\ 33.7'$	$2^{\circ}\ 27.8'$	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/79$	70	$49^{\circ}\ 36.4^{\prime}$	$2^{\circ}~24.1'$	Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{03}/83$	74	$49^{\circ}\ 35.1'$	$2^{\circ}$ $19.6'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
49/03/85	76	49° 33.9′	$2^{\circ}\ 21.6'$	Maestrichtian
49/03/93	84	$49^{\circ}\ 47.5'$	$2^{\circ}~07.6'$	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/94$	85	49° 45.2′	2° 04.3′	U. Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/104$	95	49° 31.6′	2° 11.6′	Jurassic
$49/\overline{03}/113$	104	49° 20.8′	2° 06.5′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/114$	105	49° 20.5′	2° 10.9′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/119$	110	49° 21.5′	2° 15.8′	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/120$ $49/\overline{03}/127$	111 118	$\frac{49^{\circ}}{49^{\circ}} \frac{21.1'}{20.4'}$	$2^{\circ}$ $19.3^{\prime}$ $2^{\circ}$ $30.9^{\prime}$	U. Lutetian U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/127$ $49/\overline{03}/129$	120	$49^{\circ}\ 23.5'$	2° 41.4′	U. Lutetian
$48/\overline{03}/2$	121	48° 59.6′	2° 37.7′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/132$	122	49° 01.8′	2° 29.9′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/133$	123	49° 04.2′	2° 25.0′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{03}/135$	125	49° 10.0′	$2^{\circ}$ $22.3'$	Palaeogene
$49/\overline{03}/139$	129	49° 20.4′	2° 39.1′	U. Lutetian
$49\overline{/03}/140$	130	$49^{\circ}\ 19.2^{\prime}$	$2^{\circ}$ $45.8'$	U. Lutetian
$49\overline{/03}/130$	131	$49^{\circ}\ 20.0'$	$2^{\circ}~47.7'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/153$	132	49° 10.9′	3° 00.2′	U. Eocene or L. Oligocene
$49/\overline{04}/154$	133	$49^{\circ}\ 07.7'$	$3^{\circ}~04.3'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/155$	134	49° 12.0′	$3^{\circ}~05.2'$	Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/156$	135	$49^{\circ}\ 12.1'$	$3^{\circ}$ 11.5'	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/157$	136	$49^{\circ}\ 12.0'$	3° 16.6′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/158$	137	$49^{\circ}\ 11.7'$	$3^{\circ}~20.2'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/159$	138	$49^{\circ}\ 12.9'$	3° 22.2′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/160$	139	49° 09.3′	$3^{\circ}~26.0'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/161$	140	49° 12.6′	3° 25.7′	Palaeogene
49/04/162	141	49° 15.5′	3° 29.8′	Palaeogene
49/04/163	142	49° 20.0′	3° 31.7′	Lutetian or Bartonian
49/04/164	143	49° 22.4′	3° 35.0′	U. Eocene or L. Oligocene
49/04/165	144	49° 20.0′	3° 42.8′	U. Eocene or L. Oligocene
$49/\overline{04}/166$ $49/\overline{05}/164$	145	49° 19.9′	3° 49.2′	Lutetian–Bartonian
49/09/104	146	49° 17.7′	4° 05.5′	Palaeocene

I.G.S. registered number	sample number	latitude N	longitude W	
$49/\overline{04}/167$	GM 147	49° 35.4′	3° 45.4′	U. Lutetian
''	148	49° 43.2′	3° 35.1′	U. Cretaceous (M. Turonian or later)
$49/\overline{04}/168$ $49/\overline{04}/169$	149	49° 31.5′	3° 36.2′	U. Lutetian
	150	49° 27.1′	3° 33.6′	? Jurassic
$49/\overline{04}/170$ $49/\overline{04}/171$	151	49° 29.6′	3° 24.8′	Jurassic
49/04/171 $49/04/172$	151 $152$	49° 30.7′	3° 16.5′	? Jurassic
	153	49° 36.5′	3° 16.6′	U. Campanian
49/04/173	153 $154$	49° 39.2′	3° 15.5′	Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/174$	155	49° 38.7′	3° 07.5′	Palaeogene
$49/\overline{04}/175$ $49/\overline{03}/141$	157	49° 39.3′	2° 53.4′	Senonian
40/03/141	101		iversity samples	
$49/\overline{04}/187$	SB 67	49° 30′	4° 00′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/183$	70	49° 07.0′	4° 00.0′	Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/188$	72	49° 02.0′	4° 00.0′	Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/189$	74	48° 50.0′	4° 00.0′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{05}/42$	157	49°08.0′	4° 03.0′	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{05}/46$	165	49° 03.0′	4° 10.0′	Danian
$49/\overline{05}/56$	178	49° 06.0′	4° 03.0′	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{05}/171$	237	49° 52.2′	4° 00.0′	Santonian
$49/\overline{05}/63$	248	49° 50.0′	4° 05.0′	Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/125$	288	49° 37.6′	3° 59.4′	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/126$	290	49° 32.7′	3° 59.8′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{05}/172$	$\begin{array}{c} 291 \\ \end{array}$	49° 34.7′	4° 05.0′	Danian
$49/\overline{05}/88$	451	49° 22.5′	4° 05.0′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{05}/89$	452	49° 25.0′	4° 05.5′	Danian
$49/\overline{04}/185$	453	49° 25.0′	4° 00.0′	U. Campanian
$49/\overline{05}/91$	454	49° 27.3′	4° 00.0′	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/1$	455	49° 27.4′	3° 56.2′	L. Santonian
$49/\overline{04}/2$	456	49° 27.6′	3° 51.0′	U. Campanian
$49/\overline{04}/3$	457	49° 28.6′	3° 50.5′	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/4$	458	49° 29.9′	$3^{\circ} \ 43.6'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/6$	${\color{red}462}$	49° 40.1′	3° 39.9′	Danian
$49/\overline{04}/7$	463	49° 40.4′	$3^{\circ}$ $44.8'$	Danian
$49/\overline{04}/8$	464	49° 40.0′	3° 50.0′	? Danian
$49/\overline{04}/9$	465	$49^{\circ}\ 37.0'$	$3^{\circ}~49.7'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/10$	468	$49^{\circ}\ 30.9'$	$3^{\circ}~49.6'$	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/11$	469	$49^{\circ} 32.4'$	$3^{\circ}$ $54.9'$	Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/13$	471	$49^{\circ}\ 37.2'$	$3^{\circ}$ $55.0'$	Danian
$49/\overline{04}/15$	475	49° 58.2′	$3^{\circ}$ $44.3'$	Cenomanian
$49/\overline{04}/17$	622	49° 40.1′	$3^{\circ}~34.7'$	Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/18$	623	$49^{\circ}\ 37.6'$	$3^{\circ}~34.2'$	U. Campanian or L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/19$	624	$49^{\circ}~32.5'$	$3^{\circ} 34.3'$	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/20$	625	$49^{\circ}\ 35.4'$	$3^{\circ} 34.3'$	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/21$	626	$49^{\circ} \ 35.1'$	$3^{\circ}\ 37.3'$	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/22$	627	$49^{\circ}\ 35.1'$	$3^{\circ} \ 39.9'$	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/23$	632	$49^{\circ}\ 45.0'$	3° 19.5′	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/24$	633	$49^{\circ}\ 50.0'$	3° 20.1′	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/25$	634	$49^{\circ}\ 54.8'$	3° 19.9′	Campanian or Maestrichtian
$50/\overline{04}/22$	648	$50^{\circ}~02.6'$	$3^{\circ}\ 25.0'$	Triassic
$49/\overline{04}/27$	649	49° 57.7′	$3^{\circ} 24.8'$	Triassic
$49/\overline{04}/30$	652	49° 52.6′	3° 34.8′	Santonian
$49/\overline{04}/32$	655	49° 58.4′	$3^{\circ}~29.4'$	Triassic
$50/\overline{04}/23$	656	50° 0.36′	3° 29.69′	Triassic
$49/\overline{04}/33$	660	49° 57.6′	3° 34.8′	Triassic
$49/\overline{04}/35$	824	49° 19.9′	3° 15.0′	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/36$	825	49° 19.9′	3° 19.8′	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/37$	826	49° 20.4′	3° 23.9′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/38$	827	49° 20.4′	3° 23.9′	M. or U. Eocene
$49/\overline{04}/39$	828	49° 20.3′	3° 34.0′	M. or U. Eocene
10=100				

		LIST OF S	AMPLES (con	et.)
I.G.S. registered	sample		•	•
number	number	latitude N	longitude W	
$49/\overline{04}/40$	SB 829	$49^{\circ}\ 20.0'$	$3^{\circ} 40.2'$	Bartonian
49/04/41	830	$49^{\circ}\ 15.2'$	$3^{\circ} \ 45.5'$	M. or U. Eocene
$48/\overline{04}/1$	1043	48° 57.5′	$3^{\circ}\ 25.0'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$48/\overline{04}/2$	1048	48° 55.0′	3° 50.0′	U. Lutetian
$48/\overline{04}/3$	1051	$48^{\circ} 47.5'$	3° 55.0′	M. or U. Eocene
$48/\overline{05}/23$	1053	48° 48.0′	4° 00.0′	U. Lutetian
$48/\overline{05}/24$	1054	48° 48.0′	4° 02.5′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/51$	1057	49° 14.8′	3° 30.2′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/52$	1058	49° 14.7′	3° 35.1′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/53$	1059	49° 14.7′	3° 40.5′ 3° 49.4′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/54$	$\begin{array}{c} 1060 \\ 1061 \end{array}$	49° 15.2′ 49° 10.1′	3° 49.4′ 3° 49.9′	Oligocene Bartonian
$egin{array}{c} 49/\overline{04}/55 \ 49/\overline{04}/57 \end{array}$	$1061 \\ 1063$	49° 10.1° 49° 15.2′	3° 55.2′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/186$	1064	49° 15.2′ 49° 15.0′	4° 00.0′	Danian
$49/\overline{05}/142$	1065	49° 12.5′	4° 00.0′	M. or U. Eocene
$49/\overline{05}/173$	1066	49° 12.5′	4° 05.0′	Danian
$49/\overline{05}/143$	1067	49° 15.0′	4° 05.0′	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/59$	1076	49° 36.8′	3° 10.3′	Bathonian
$49/\overline{04}/60$	1078	49° 29.9′	3° 09.7′	L. Maestrichtian
$49\overline{/}\overline{0}\overline{4}\overline{/}62$	1082	$49^{\circ} \ 40.3'$	3° 14.6′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/67$	1090	$49^{\circ}\ 37.6'$	$3^{\circ}~24.7'$	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/68$	1091	$49^{\circ} 35.4'$	3° 30.0′	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/190$	1092	$49^{\circ} 33.0'$	$3^{\circ}~30.0'$	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/69$	1093	$49^{\circ}\ 25.2'$	$3^{\circ}$ $54.9'$	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/70$	1094	$49^{\circ}\ 20.6'$	$3^{\circ} 54.8'$	U. Campanian
$49/\overline{04}/71$	1095	$49^{\circ} 24.3'$	$3^{\circ}$ $43.2'$	Montian?
$49/\overline{04}/72$	1096	$49^{\circ}\ 23.1'$	$3^{\circ}$ $49.7'$	L. Santonian
$49/\overline{04}/73$	1097	49° 25.2′	3° 49.9′	L. Maestrichtian
49/04/74	1098	49° 27.7′	3° 44.9′	U. Campanian
$49/\overline{04}/75$	1100	49° 20.4′	3° 44.7′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/191$	1101	49° 22.5′	3° 40.0′	Danian
$49/\overline{04}/76$	1103	49° 30.2′	3° 39.6′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/77 \ 49/\overline{04}/192$	$\begin{array}{c} 1105 \\ 1106 \end{array}$	$49^{\circ}\ 25.1' \ 49^{\circ}\ 22.5'$	3° 35.4′ 3° 35.0′	? Coniacian Bartonian
49/04/192 $49/04/78$	1107	49° 22.8′	3° 30.3′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/193$	1108	49° 22.5′	3° 25.0′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$48/\overline{03}/3$	1114	48° 50.0′	2° 20.0′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/82$	1122	49° 58.9′	3° 42.9′	? Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/83$	1125	49° 59.1′	3° 40.0′	? Liassic
$50\overline{/04}/35$	1126	$50^{\circ}~0.2'$	$3^{\circ} \ 40.2'$	? Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/85$	1130	49° 58.1′	$3^{\circ} 37.6'$	Triassic
$49/\overline{04}/87$	1132	$49^{\circ} 56.9'$	$3^{\circ} 36.0$	? Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/88$	1133	$49^{\circ} 56.2'$	$3^{\circ}~34.7'$	? Liassic
$49/\overline{0}\overline{4}/89$	1134	$49^{\circ} 56.7'$	$3^{\circ} 33.8'$	? Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/194$	1136	$49^{\circ} 55.0'$	$3^{\circ}$ $32.5'$	? Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/90$	1138	49° 54.2′	3° 30.0′	? Liassic
$49/\overline{04}/91$	1139	49° 56.3′	3° 29.9′	Triassic
$49/\overline{03}/92$	1140	49° 56.3′	3° 27.2′	? Liassic
49/04/93	1141	49° 55.2′	3° 27.4′	Triassic
$49/\overline{04}/94$	1142	49° 54.1′ 49° 52.5′	3° 27.4′	? Liassic
49/04/95 49/04/96	1144	49° 52.5′ 49° 56.4′	3° 27.4′	(L?) Campanian
$49/\overline{04}/96 \ 49/\overline{04}/97$	$\begin{array}{c} 1148 \\ 1182 \end{array}$	49° 50.4° 49° 59.2′	$3^{\circ}\ 24.9' \ 3^{\circ}\ 22.4'$	L. Liassic Triassic
49/04/97 $49/04/98$	1182	49° 59.2′ 49° 59.2′	3° 20.1′	Triassic Triassic
49/04/98 $49/04/103$	1191	49° 56.9′	3° 22.9′	Triassic Triassic
49/04/107	1199	49° 45.1′	3° 29.6′	Campanian or Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{04}/42$	1251	49° 00.0′	3° 54.4′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/43$	1252	49° 00.2′	3° 50.4′	Bartonian
•				

I.G.S. register	red sample			
number	number	latitude N	longitude W	
$49/\overline{04}/44$	SB 1253	49° 00.3′	3° 44.6′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/45$	1254	49° 00.3′	3° 40.0′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/46$	1255	49° 00.2′	$3^{\circ} 34.8'$	U. Lutetian
$\mathbf{49/\overline{04/47}}$	1256	49° 00.1′	3° 30.2′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/48$	1257	49° 00.0′	$3^{\circ}~25.1'$	U. Lutetian
$48/\overline{04}/4$	1258	$49^{\circ}\ 00.0'$	3° 20.0′	U. Lutetian
$50/\overline{04}/87$	1280	$50^{\circ}~03.2'$	3° 28.1′	Liassic (L?)
$50/\overline{04}/89$	1282	$50^{\circ}\ 03.02'$	3° 30.36′	Liassic (L?)
$50/\overline{04}/91$	1284	50° 03.0′	3° 30.4′	L. Liassic
$50/\overline{04}/93$	1288	$50^{\circ}~02.6'$	3° 31.8′	L. Liassic
$50/\overline{04}/94$	1290	50° 02.6′	3° 30.0′	L. Liassic
50/04/96	1293	50° 01.99′	3° 28.0′	Triassic
50/04/177		50° 02.0′	3° 29.0′	Triassic
$50/\overline{04}/97$	1295	50° 02.1′	3° 30.9′	L. Liassic
$50/\overline{04}/100$		50° 01.8′	3° 35.7′	L. Liassic
50/04/102	1308	50° 00.6′	3° 36.8′	Triassic
$50/\overline{04}/103$	1309	50° 01.3′	3° 36.0′	Triassic
50/04/105		50° 01.2′	3° 34.8′	Triassic
50/04/108		50° 01.1′	3° 32.1′	Triassic
49/04/108		49° 58.6′	3° 45.0′	? Liassic
50/03/9	1581	50° 05.3′	2° 24.5′	Maestrichtian
$50/\overline{03}/10$	1582	50° 05.0′	2° 20.3′	Jurassic
$50/\overline{03}/13$	1585	50° 00.2′	2° 09.9′	Bathonian
49/03/144		49° 59.9′	2° 20.4′	Liassic (M. or U.)
$50/\overline{03}/16$	1588	50° 00.1′	2° 25.0′	Liassic (? L.)
$49/\overline{03}/145$		49° 59.9′	2° 30.2′	Campanian (? L.)
$49/\overline{03}/157$		49° 59.9′	2° 40.2′	Campanian or Maestrichtian
50/03/19	1592	50° 00.1′ 50° 00.0′	$2^{\circ}\ 45.0' \ 3^{\circ}\ 05.0'$	Campanian or Maestrichtian
50/04/143		49° 55.0′	2° 55.0′	Campanian or Maestrichtian ? Cenomanian
49/03/158	1603	49° 55.1′	2° 34.7′	U? Campanian or L? Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/6  49/\overline{03}/8$	1605	49° 54.9′	$2^{\circ} 24.7'$	Bathonian
$49/\overline{03}/9$	1606	49° 55.3′	2° 19.0′	Bathonian
$49/\overline{03}/9$ $49/\overline{03}/159$		49° 55.0′	2° 15.0′	Bathonian
$49/\overline{03}/15$	1614	49° 49.8′	2° 35.8′	U. Santonian
$49/\overline{03}/16$	1615	49° 50.2′	2° 40.4′	Maestrichtian
$\frac{49}{03}/17$	1616	49° 50.4′	2° 44.7′	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/115$		49° 45.1′	3° 04.8′	Triassic
49/04/116		49° 45.3′	3° 00.0′	Triassic
$49/\overline{03}/20$	1624	49° 45.4′	$2^{\circ}$ $49.7'$	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/21$	1626	49° 45.2′	2° 39.9′	L. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/22$	1627	49° 44.9′	$2^{\circ}$ 35.0'	U. Bathonian
$49/\overline{03}/23$	1628	$49^{\circ}\ 23.5'$	$2^{\circ}$ $52.7'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{03}/24$	1629	49° 20.2′	2° 49.9′	M. or U. Eocene
$49/\overline{03}/25$	1630	$49^{\circ}\ 20.0'$	$2^{\circ}$ $55.0'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
$49/\overline{04}/117$		$49^{\circ}\ 20.0'$	3° 00.2′	M. or U. Eocene
$49/\overline{04}/119$		$49^{\circ}\ 25.1'$	3° 04.9′	U. Lutetian
$49/\overline{04}/120$		$49^{\circ}\ 29.9'$	3° 40.8′	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/27$	1638	49° 35.1′	$2^{\circ}$ $50.1'$	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/28$	1639	$49^{\circ} 35.1'$	$2^{\circ}$ $45.0'$	U. Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/29$	1640	$49^{\circ} 35.0'$	$2^{\circ} 40.0'$	Eocene
$49/\overline{03}/31$	1642	$49^{\circ} 39.9'$	$2^{\circ} 35.0'$	U. Lutetian or Bartonian
<b>4</b> 9/ <del>03</del> /33	1644	$49^{\circ}\ 40.0'$	$2^{\circ}$ $45.0'$	Maestrichtian
$49/\overline{03}/34$	1645	$49^{\circ}\mathbf{40.4'}$	$2^{\circ} 49.4'$	L. Maestrichtian
$49\overline{/03}/45$	1647	$49^{\circ}40.3^{\prime}$	$2^{\circ}$ $59.6'$	Bathonian
• •				

#### LIST OF SAMPLES (cont.)

	Curry (1960) samples							
I.G.S. registered	sample		, -					
number	number	latitude N	longitude W					
$49/\overline{03}/160$	C 379	49° 10.8′	$2^{\circ} \ 43.7'$	U. Lutetian				
$49/\overline{03}/161$	380	$49^{\circ}\ 10.9'$	$2^{\circ}$ $49.8'$	U. Lutetian				
$49/\overline{04}/195$	382	$49^{\circ}\ 11.6'$	$3^{\circ} \ 04.5'$	U. Lutetian				
$49/\overline{04}/196$	384	$49^{\circ}\ 12.0'$	3° 18.6′	U. Lutetian				
$49/\overline{04}/197$	385	$49^{\circ}\ 12.2'$	$3^{\circ}~26.0'$	U. Lutetian				
$49/\overline{04}/198$	386	$49^{\circ}\ 12.3^{\prime}$	$3^{\circ} \ 33.8'$	U. Lutetian				
University College Swansea samples								
$49/\overline{03}/147$	S 1	49° 21.2′	$2^{\circ}~20.0'$	Lutetian				
$49/\overline{03}/148$	$\mathbf{\tilde{2}}$	$49^{\circ} 21.5'$	2° 19.0′	Lutetian				
$49/\overline{03}/149$	3	49° 21.8′	2° 18.5′	Eocene				
$49/\overline{03}/150$	5	49° 22,4′	2° 17.0′	? Devonian ? Triassic				
$49/\overline{03}/151$	6	49° 22.7′	2° 17.0′	? Devonian ? Triassic				
$49/\overline{03}/152$	7	49° 23.0′	2° 16.2′	? Devonian ? Triassic				
$49/\overline{03}/153$	8	49° 24.7′	2° 11.3′	? Lutetian				
$49/\overline{03}/154$	10	49° 25.5′	2° 12.0′	Eocene				
$49/\overline{03}/155$	11	$49^{\circ}\ 25.2'$	2° 11.0′	Eocene				
$49/\overline{03}/156$	12	$49^{\circ}\ 26.7'$	2° 10.0′	Eocene				
	В	oillot & Le C	Calvez samples (	1961)				
$48/\overline{05}/25$	B 410	$48^{\circ}\ 50.2'$	$4^{\circ} \ 01.6'$	Upper Lutetian				
$48/\overline{05}/26$	532	$48^{\circ} \ 48.8'$	$4^{\circ} \ 00.6'$	Upper Lutetian				
$48/\overline{05}/27$	611	$48^{\circ} 49.7'$	$4^{\circ} 06.4'$	Upper Lutetian				
$48/\overline{05}/28$	763	$48^{\circ} 49.7'$	4° 00.2′	Upper Lutetian				
$48/\overline{05}/29$	832	$48^{\circ} 48.9'$	$4^{\circ}~05.7'$	Upper Lutetian				
Donovan samples								
$49/\overline{03}/162$	Q 2	$49^{\circ}\ 47.8'$	2° 31.8′	Campanian (Lower?)				
$49/\overline{03}/163$	R 2	49° 47.1′	$2^{\circ}$ $32.6'$	Maestrichtian				
Institute of Geological Sciences samples								
	1112			-				
$49/\overline{04}/177$		49° 45.2′	3° 04.9′	Triassic				
$49/\overline{04}/180$		$49^{\circ}$ $44.6'$	3° 04.0′	Triassic				
$49/\overline{04}/182$	<del></del>	49° 44.0′	3° 02.0′	L. Liassic				
University College London samples								
$49/\overline{03}/164$	UCL 1602	49° 21.0′	2° 19.0′	Upper Lutetian				
	,	Bes	t's sample					
$48/\overline{03}/4$	BE 308	48° 52′	2° 39.3′	Upper Lutetian				
10,00,1	22.000		2 00.0	- PPor more				

#### Discussion

#### R. McQuillin (Marine Geophysics Unit, 15 Braefoot Terrace, Edinburgh 16)

Mr R. McQuillin commented on the structural features shown on shallow seismic profiles obtained in the western Channel, in particular the high apparent dips seen on Sparker records. He enquired whether true dip values had been calculated from line intersections. Usually, shallow seismic records show highly exaggerated apparent dip angles and it would be of interest to have data on maximum dip values in the more intense structures, as well as

97

regional dip values within the broad basin structures. With regard to folding in Mesozoic rocks, monoclinal and asymmetric folds appear to be common which might suggest that such folding relates to normal faulting in more competent beds lower in the crust. If so this could indicate that the folding took place under conditions of crustal tension, not compression.

D. Curry replied to Mr McQuillin that true dip values have been calculated where possible and these values have been used in regional interpretations. The authors agreed that fold structures in Mesozoic, and indeed in Tertiary strata in other parts of the Channel, reflect fault movements on block boundaries. Caution is required in any interpretation since reversals of movement may have taken place within the history of any one fault and some faults may have had a horizontal component of movement.

#### C. Pomerol (Géologie 1-4, Université Paris VI, France)

What are the relationships between the Lutetian and Bartonian facies of the western Channel and those of the Paris Basin?

D. Curry replied that the facies of the western Channel show marked similarities to the more calcareous levels within marine developments of the Paris Basin. There is an even closer resemblance to that of the Faluns à Cérithes of the region of Valognes, Manche.

8 Vol. 279. A.